

Applicant does not traverse the restriction.

Applicant continues to assert allowability of non-elected species and claims readable thereon for purposes of further prosecution in the form of divisional, continuation, continuation-in-part applications or otherwise.

### **REMARKS**

Comments of Primary Examiner DAVIS have been reviewed carefully along with pertinent sections of the Patent Act, Patent Rules, Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, legal treatises and relevant decisional law.


Applicant has elected Species 1 drawn to Figs. 1 and 2 on which Claims 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 read. Applicant does not traverse the Restriction requirement. Claim 1 has been held to be generic.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, Applicant reserves its right to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the present Election, Applicant will indicate which are readable upon elected species as called for in MPEP Section 809.02(a).

No change to the inventorship is necessary or desirable by virtue of the Election.

Courtesy, cooperation and skill of Primary Examiner Cassandra Hope DAVIS are appreciated and acknowledged.

Respectfully,

By:   
CHARLES E. BAXLEY  
Attorney of Record  
USPTO Reg. 20,149  
90 John Street, Third Floor  
New York, New York 10038  
Telephone (212) 791-7200  
Facsimile (212) 791-7276  
E-mail ceb@hartbaxley.com

CEB:lcr/14186 B